Golds

↓

↓

↓

Chair of REISC appoints a Screening Panel and chair (from a previously selected group) to conduct a preliminary investigation

↓

Members of Screening Panel confirm that there is no conflict of interest

↓

The Complainant is informed that a preliminary investigation is being conducted by a Screening Panel

↓

Chair of REISC informs the Respondent that an allegation has been made and provides a written summary of allegation

↓

Have the Complainant or Respondent raised concerns about the panel?

No ← → **Yes**

No →

The Respondent is given the opportunity to set out their case in writing

↓

Screening Panel reviews evidence and interviews are held

↓

Screening Panel makes one of the permitted decisions

↓

Screening Panel submits report and documentation to Chair of REISC and Research Services

↓

Complainant and Respondent given opportunity to comment on factual accuracy

↓ ↓ ↓ ↓

| Allegation is unfounded | Referred to other process as outlined in the procedure | Poor academic practice | Progress to Stage 3: Formal Investigation by Panel |

Yes →

Chair of REISC decides if concerns warrant reconfiguration of the Panel and informs the Complainant and/or Respondent of decision